#### July 28, 2015

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Planning Board Chairman Peter Hogan. Present were regular members Mark Suennen, David Litwinovich and Ed Carroll, and ex-officio Joe Constance. Also present were Planning Board Assistant Shannon Silver and Recording Clerk Valerie Diaz.

Present in the audience for all or part of the meeting were Allan Girard, Dan Donovan, Jr., John Neville, Jake Neville, Ray Shea, LLS, Barbara Stewart, Michael Ploof, LLS, Dwight Lovejoy, Sandy and Kenneth Lehtonen, Kenny Lehtonen, Shirley Sullivan, James Barnett, Graham and Pamela Pendlebury.

#### THE GIRARD FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST

#### ALLAN D. GIRARD, SR., TRUSTEE

- 13 Public Hearing/Non-Residential Site Plan Review/Home Business/Gift/antique shop
- 14 Location: 159 Parker Road
- 15 Tax Map/Lot # 3/115
- 16 Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District

Present in the audience were Allan Girard, Dan Donovan, Jr., John Neville and Jake Neville.

The Chairman read the public hearing notice.

Allan Girard stated that the parking delineation and signs had been completed; he provided photographs of the parking area to the Board. The Chairman asked if there had been removal of the lawn. Allan Girard answered no. The Chairman commented that the parking was perfect the way that it was shown.

Allan Girard provided a photograph of the sign for his business. The Chairman stated that the sign fit in with the character of the neighborhood. Allan Girard noted that the sign permit had been completed.

Allan Girard indicated that he had met with the Road Agent with regard to the driveway. he advised that he would widen the driveway to be 14' across and that the Road Agent would be present for the installation of the driveway apron.

Allan Girard stated that the plan had been updated.

The Chairman stated that it appeared that property was in compliance with the exception of the driveway. Allan Girard indicated that the driveway would be completed by July 31, 2015.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to accept the waiver request for the Driveway Regulations and allow the two driveways within 200' for The Girard Family Irrevocable Trust, Allan Girard, Sr., Trustee, Location; 159 Parker Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/115, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, as the Road Agent had agreed to the proposed modification to the Driveway Regulations and because the waiver request met the spirit and intent of the Regulations. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

The Chairman asked for further comments and/or questions; there were no comments or questions.

July 28, 2015

GIR	ARE	), cont.

1.

1 2 3

David Litwinovich **MOVED** to approve the site plan for The Girard Family Irrevocable Trust, by Allan Girard to operate a gift and antiques shop home business from an accessory building of 528 s.f. at 159 Parker Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/115, subject to:

Submission of a minimum of three (3) copies of the revised site plan that include

5 6 7

4

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:**

8 9 10

all checklist corrections and any agreed-upon conditions from this hearing (if

11 12 2. Execution of a Site Review Agreement regarding the condition(s) subsequent (if any).

13 14 15

> 16 17

The deadline date for compliance with the conditions precedent shall be August 28, 2015, confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date and a written request for extension is not submitted by that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice that that the Planning Board may convene a hearing under RSA 676:4-a to revoke the approval.

All of the site improvements are to be completed per the approved site plan;

the anticipated date of compliance hearing and the opening of the business on the

A compliance hearing shall be held to determine that the site improvements have

Any outstanding fees related to the site plan application compliance shall be

18 19 20

#### **CONDITION(S) SUBSEQUENT:**

21 22 23

2. The Town of New Boston Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer shall be notified by the applicant that all improvements have been completed, and are ready for final inspection, prior to scheduling a compliance hearing with the Planning Board on those improvements, a minimum of three (3) weeks prior to

3.

4.

site;

1.

25 26

24

27 28

29 30

31 32 33

34 35

36 37 38

been satisfactorily completed, prior to releasing the hold on the issuance of a Permit to Operate or Certificate of Occupancy, or both. No occupancy/use of the gift and antiques shop home business shall be permitted until the site improvements as noted have been completed, and a site inspection and compliance hearing held. The deadline for complying with the Conditions Subsequent shall be **August 28, 2015**,

the confirmation of which shall be determined at a compliance hearing on same as described in item 4 above.

39 40

#### **ONGOING CONDITIONS:**

41 The property owner, Allan Girard, will be the sole employee of the gift and 1. 42 antiques shop home business. 43

submitted prior to the compliance hearing;

2. The hours of operation are 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. seven days a week from April

July 28, 2015

~		
TID	ADI	), cont.
(TII)	AIL	). COIIL.

1
2
3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- through November.
- 3. Two parking spaces are permitted.
- 4. The parking area shall be arranged so that cars will not back out onto Parker Road.
- 5. The gift and antiques shop home business shall be carried on within the accessory structure designated on the approved plan.
- 6. Exterior storage of materials or variation from the residential character of the principal or accessory structure shall not be permitted.
- 7. Any proposed changes to the type of business or any other conditions shown on the approved plan shall be submitted to the Planning Board for a determination of the need for any further site plan review prior to instituting any such changes.

Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

14 15 16

17

18

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to approve the driveway permit #15-06 for The Girard Family Irrevocable Trust, by Allan Girard to operate a gift and antiques shop home business from an accessory building of 528 s.f. at 159 Parker Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/115. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

19 20 21

#### CASTLE DONOVAN, III REAL ESTATE, LLC

22 <u>Compliance Hearing/Public Hearing/Assisted Living Residence/Supported Residential Health</u>

23 Care Facility

24 Location: 539 Old Coach Road

25 Tax Map/Lot #10/3-3

26 Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Present in the audience were Dan Donovan, Jr., John Neville, Jake Neville, Ray Shea, LLS, Barbara Stewart and Michael Ploof, LLS.

The Chairman read the public hearing notice. He asked if the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer, (BI/CEO), had submitted a letter to the Board confirming the applicant's compliance. The Planning Board Assistant answered yes.

Mark Suennen asked the applicant to discuss the outstanding matters relative to the generator, dumpster and enter/exit signs. Dan Donovan, Jr., explained that the generator was being manufactured in Madison, WI, and would be shipped on August 6, 2015. He indicated that once the generator was received it would be placed on the generator pad.

Dan Donovan, Jr., stated that the 30 yard dumpster would be removed soon and replaced with a smaller dumpster.

Dan Donovan indicated that the change to the entrance/exit that had been discussed with the Board and subsequently approved had not been reflected on the site plan. Mark Suennen asked if the signs had been installed onsite. Dan Donavan, Jr., answered yes. He stated that he would add the changes of the signs to the plan.

Mark Suennen for the status of the grass growth onsite. Dan Donovan, Jr., answered that

July 28, 2015

CASTI	LE DON	NOVAN,	cont.
-------	--------	--------	-------

the grass growth was good and that an irrigation system had been installed.

Mark Suennen stated that he was comfortable confirming compliance based on the BI/CEO's statement that other than the items previously addressed, he found the site to be in compliance with the site plan. The Chairman and Joe Constance agreed.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to confirm compliance with the conditions subsequent to the approval of the Non-Residential Site Plan Review for Castle Donovan III Real Estate for the operation of an Assisted Living Residence/Supported Residential Health Care Facility on Tax Map/Lot #10/3-3, Old Coach Road, and to release the Stormwater management/erosion control security in the amount of \$25,252.00, plus earned interest, subject to:

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:**

- 1. Completion of all outstanding items on the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer's Inspection Reports of 07/15/15 and 7/28/15;
- 2. Receipt of pictures of entrance/exit signs installed with letter stating same to be attached to as-built plans.

The deadline for complying with the conditions precedent shall be **September 28, 2015**, the confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date, and a written request for extension is not submitted prior to that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice that the Planning Board may convene a hearing pursuant to RSA 676:4-a to revoke the approval.

Upon completion of the Condition(s) Precedent to the satisfaction of the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer the Board approves the release of the hold on the Permit to Operate/Certificate of Occupancy to be issued by the Building Department. It is the applicant's responsibility to apply to the Building Department for a Permit to Operate/Certificate of Occupancy.

David Litwinovich seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, LLC**

- 34 Submission of an Earth Removal Application/Public Hearing
- 35 Location: Parker Road
- 36 Tax Map/Lot #3/57 & #3/58
- 37 Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District

Present in the audience were John Neville, Jake Neville, Ray Shea, LLS, Dwight Lovejoy and Barbara Stewart.

The Chairman read the public hearing notice. Ray Shea, LLS, stated that the above-referenced property was located on the west side of Parker Road and the east side of Riverdale Road. He noted that the property was split into two tracts; one tract was 36 acres and the other

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

tract was 27 acres.

Ray Shea, LLS, advised that John Neville had recently purchased the property and currently worked out of a pit located across the street, on Riverdale Road.

Ray Shea, LLS, stated that the lots being discussed had previously been used as gravel pits. He explained that the previous gravel permit had allowed the use of 8 acres of the property. He pointed to an existing access road off Parker Road and noted that it was flat. He identified some rough faces located at the northern section of the property.

Ray Shea, LLS, advised that the applicant was proposing to clean-up the area that was previously used as a gravel pit as well as remove material from the site. He added that the applicant had a renewed AoT permit.

Ray Shea, LLS, explained that the lower part of the gravel pit had been taken down too much and as such the applicant was proposing to make the area flatter, slope it up and push the water into a swale to the side of the yard and into an infiltration basin.

Ray Shea, LLS, pointed out that he had additional phases shown on the proposed plan and explained that those phases were not covered by the current AoT permit. He explained that an application for a further AoT permit needed to be submitted if the applicant wished to move beyond the phase that was covered by the currently approved AoT permit. He added that the approved AoT permit covered the removal of 97K cubic yards.

Joe Constance asked for the amount of material left to be removed from the pit. John Neville believed that there were about 200K yards of material left to be removed. The Chairman asked if the 200K yards to be removed included the entire property. Ray Shea, LLS, clarified that there was about 300K yards to be removed from the entire property. John Neville noted that some of the material would be used onsite to fill in the area that had previously been over-mined.

Ray Shea, LLS, indicated that the applicant was requesting waivers for the Environmental and Traffic Impact Studies. He noted that the property had previously been used as a gravel pit and that the current owner would be making improvements to the pit. He stated that the traffic would remain the same and that the same entrance would be used to access the pit. He noted that the applicant was proposing to move the existing gate back into the property. He explained that larger trucks would be able to park on the access road without hanging out into the road if the gate was moved back.

Ray Shea, LLS, invited the Board to ask questions. Joe Constance commented that the applicant's other gravel pit was very neat and very well maintained.

Mark Suennen asked if the intended final grade of the pit area would be 410' as shown on the plan. Ray Shea, LLS, answered that the grade would start at 394' and the base of the slope would be at 410'. He indicated that there would be 3' - 4' of fill up to 402', the first face, and at 410' the slope would be 3:1.

The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the Board. Joe Constance asked if anyone had concerns with the waivers for the Impact Studies. The Chairman stated that he did not have any concerns with the waivers. Joe Constance noted that he did not have any concerns with the waivers. Mark Suennen indicated that he would share his opinions on the waivers after public comment. Ray Shea, LLS, stated that he had worked extensively with DES

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

2 3

with regard to the reclamation of the site. He explained that digging below the water table had created some non-jurisdictional wetlands. He noted that DES was aware of the situation.

Joe Constance asked if the existing access would continue to serve as the access to the pit. John Neville answered yes and reiterated that he was proposing to move the gate back from the roadway.

The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the audience. Barbara Stewart of 220 Riverdale Road asked if trucks accessing the pit would travel down Parker Road. John Neville answered yes and noted that every once in a while trucks would travel on Riverdale Road for access to Route 114.

Barbara Stewart asked for the hours of operation for the pit. John Neville answered that the hours of operation were Monday through Saturday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. He added that Saturday work would include taking care of homeowners needs in the New Boston and Goffstown area. Ray Shea, LLS, noted that the hours of operation were listed on the plan.

Barbara Stewart asked if the applicant would move his stone crusher that was currently located in Bo Strong's gravel pit to the proposed gravel pit. John Neville answered yes and explained that he did not use the stone crusher all of the time. He further explained that he frequently brought the stone crusher to his job sites to crush the stone onsite rather than hauling the material to the gravel pit as it was more economical.

Barbara Stewart asked for the buffer between the gravel pit and the abutters. Ray Shea, LLS, answered that the buffer was 50' between the gravel pit property line and the nearest abutters. Joe Constance asked for confirmation that trees currently existed in the buffer area. Ray Shea, LLS, confirmed that trees existed in the buffer area.

John Neville advised that he had spoken with abutters who lived on Parker Road about his intentions to create berms as well as his intention to work with the neighborhood.

The Chairman asked for further comments and/or questions from the audience; there were no questions or comments.

Mark Suennen noted that the plan stated that 50% of the trucks accessing the pit would belong to the applicant and the other 50% of trucks would be other haulers. He asked what kind of controls the applicant had in place over the trucks that did not belong to him with regard to being sensitive to the neighborhood. John Neville stated that he worked with the haulers all of the time and did not have a whole bunch of outside people coming in. He indicated that he did not like selling to the competition as it only hurt his business. Mark Suennen asked if the applicant would be willing to suggest to the other haulers that they use the same routes that his trucks used. John Neville answered that he was not afraid to make that suggestion to the other haulers.

Dwight Lovejoy of 166 Parker Road advised that he had known the applicant for a long time and had seen thousands of trucks drive by his property. He continued that in all that time he had only made two calls to the applicant with complaints. He explained that the complaints were relative to new drivers who were getting used to their trucks and that the applicant had responded to and resolved the matters within 60 minutes of the calls.

Mark Suennen asked if the filling shown on the plan would take place during Phase I and

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

before material would be hauled out. John Neville answered that he intended on filling and removing simultaneously. He explained that by doing them together it would help support the cost of the improvements to the pit. Mark Suennen asked if there was a target date for completion of the improvements to the pit. John Neville answered that the completion target date was at least six months out as he was currently overloaded with outside work. He stated that if work lightened up during the fall he might be able to start the work. Mark Suennen asked if May 28, 2016, could be the target date when the base of the pit would be at the appropriate grade. John Neville answered yes.

Mark Suennen asked if the infiltration basin needed to be installed before the floor of the pit was backfilled. Ray Shea, LLS, did not believe that the basin needed to be completed 100% before the backfilling began as there was a lot of flat area in the pit that could handle runoff. John Neville added that currently the water was disappearing in the ground before it even reached the proposed infiltration area. Mark Suennen asked if the basin would be completed by May 28, 2016. Ray Shea, LLS, answered yes. Mark Suennen stated that the Board would not allow any water running onto Parker Road. Joe Constance asked if the completion target of May 28th was reasonable as there was the possibility of a rainy spring. John Neville asked if the Board was open to addressing an extension should mother nature prevent him from completing the work by May 28th. Joe Constance answered yes.

Mark Suennen asked how the Board would inspect/confirm that the work agreed to be completed was in fact completed. John Neville suggested that he provide certification from Earl Sandford, LLS, that the work was completed. Mark Suennen agreed with the applicant's suggestion. He asked that a letter of certification be sent when the basin was completed and that a letter of certification also be sent when the floor was at the proposed grade.

Mark Suennen noted that the AoT permit needed to be renewed in 2016 and asked if the applicant had already completed the renewal process. Ray Shea, LLS, answered no and explained that the AoT permit had been amended to reflect the new owner and would need to be renewed in 2016.

Mark Suennen advised that the applicant may be required to get a sign permit from the Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer, (BI/CEO), should he want to put a sign up. John Neville stated that there was an existing sign at the property. Mark Suennen explained that the sign may have been placed at the property prior to the adoption of the Sign Regulations. He asked if the sign would be altered. John Neville answered that the name on the sign needed to be changed. Mark Suennen stated that the applicant needed to work with the BI/CEO if the sign was being replaced. John Neville agreed to work with the BI/CEO.

Mark Suennen asked if the Board needed to discuss the reclamation bond. The Planning Board Assistant answered yes and explained that there was no bond in place. Mark Suennen asked if the applicant would be accepting the reclamation plan that was in place from the previous owner. John Neville answered yes. Mark Suennen asked for the estimated value of the reclamation cost. John Neville asked what the board had approved for other gravel pits in Town. Joe Constance asked about the \$7,000.00 per acre amount that had been used in the past. The Planning Board Assistant explained that the Board of Selectmen had previously used the

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

2 3

\$7,000.00 per acre amount for reclamation bonds, however, other pit owners submitted estimates for the reclamation and were approved by the Planning Board on a case by case basis. Mark Suennen asked the applicant to submit an estimate for reclamation.

John Neville asked what items should be included in the estimate, i.e., hours of equipment, loam and seed costs, etc. Mark Suennen answered that the Board wanted the estimate to include costs for loam and seed, plantings, grading and stormwater management. John Neville stated that the cost for reclamation would be between \$2,000.00 and \$3,000.00 per acre. The Chairman asked if a bond reclamation template was available for the applicant. The Planning Board Assistant stated that she could provide a worksheet that included a breakdown of the reclamation to the applicant. She suggested that the applicant provide an estimate similar to the one he had provided for Bo Strong's gravel pit.

John Neville asked what type of bond the Board wanted him to submit. Mark Suennen indicated that the applicant could decide which type of bond he wanted to submit. John Neville stated that he would have his insurance company send over the bond.

Mark Suennen referred back to the discussion regarding the creation of the base of the pit and asked how the applicant would confirm the density of the base. John Neville explained that the rock trucks would be driving on it along with pushing material with the dozer. Mark Suennen summarized that equipment travel across the base would stabilize it and firm it up. John Neville added that if travel across the base was not sufficient to stabilize it he would use his rollers.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to accept the waivers for the Environmental and Traffic Impact Studies for Neville Materials, LLC, Location: Parker Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/57 & #3/58, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, as the applicant had provided the essential information for a traffic study, the applicant had a stormwater mitigation plan built into the site plan and the waiver met the spirit and intent of the Regulations. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

David Litwinovich **MOVED** to confirm that a Groundwater Resources Conditional Use Permit was not required for Neville Materials, LLC, Location: Parker Road, Tax Map/Lot #3/57 & #3/58, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

Mark Suennen asked if removal of material from the pit would be completed within three to five years. John Neville answered that he would like to stretch the completion time to remove material from the pit. Mark Suennen stated that completion date be moved to ten or fifteen years. John Neville believed that he would complete the removal of material from the pit in ten years. David Litwinovich pointed out that other pit owners into had been approved for much longer completion dates. John Neville requested that the completion date of the pit be moved to twenty years. Mark Suennen stated that the Earth Removal Permit would expire on July 28, 2035.

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to approve the Earth Removal Application with associated plans entitled "Gravel Excavation, Restoration, Erosion Control and Stormwater Management Plan, Neville Materials LLC, Tax Map 3/Lots 57&58 Parker Road and Riverdale Road, Town of New Boston Hillsborough County, New Hampshire", 4 sheets, dated July 9, 2015, most recently revised \_\_\_\_\_, and to grant an Earth Removal Permit to include the site specific items discussed at this hearing, subject to:

8 9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:**

- Submission of any outstanding fees. 1.
- 2. Submission of bond estimate and form of bond for review at a subsequent Planning Board meeting.
- 3. Installation of the infiltration basin and associated drainage improvements and addition of material to raise the pit floor to 4' above the seasonal high water table. \*\* An adherence statement certified by a Professional Design Engineer will be provided stating such has been completed.

The deadline for complying with the conditions precedent shall be MAY 28, 2016, the confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date, and a written request for extension is not submitted prior to that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice that the Planning Board may convene a hearing pursuant to RSA 676:4-a to revoke the approval.

23 24 25

26

27

1.

#### CONDITIONS SUBSEQUENT AND ONGOING:

33

34

35

36

37

Prior to the granting of any permit, or to the removal of any topsoil or other overburden material from a new area within an existing excavation site, the Applicant shall submit to the Regulator an acceptable bond with sufficient surety as determined by the Regulator. The purposes of the bond are to guarantee reclamation of the area and compliance with the permit. The surety must be phased to coincide with the phasing of work, in an amount sufficient to guarantee reclamation of the applicable section, to be released as sections are completed. Prior to a new section being opened, new securities shall be posted. The surety shall not be released until the Regulator is satisfied that all conditions of the site reclamation plan have been complied with. This shall be determined at a final site walk by the Regulator and/or its designee. Additionally, if a bond or security is already in place, the applicant is responsible for keeping said security up-to-date and submitting riders, renewals, or other documentation to the Planning Board as proof that the bond or security is in place.

38 39 40

2. Amendments and Renewals

41 42 43

Permit holders wishing to alter the size or location of the excavation, the rate of removal or the plan for reclamation shall apply for a renewal or amendment, following the same procedures as those required for the original excavation

July 28, 2015

NEVII	LE MA	TERIAI	S. cont.
112712	<b></b>		

1
2
3

permit.

- 3. The Earth Removal permit is not transferable without the prior written consent of the Regulator.
- 4. A copy of the Earth Removal permit shall be prominently displayed at the site or the principal access to the site.

#### 5. Inspections

The Regulator or its designee may make periodic inspections, minimally on an annual basis, of all excavation sites, both permitted and exempt, to determine if the operations are in conformance with the New Boston Earth Removal Regulations and the approved plans.

6. Hours of operation

Start up time for all machinery associated with an Earth Removal Operation shall be no earlier than 6:45 a.m. in cold weather only; in warm weather start up time for machinery shall be no earlier than 7:00 a.m.; activity of any kind, including loading and removal of material from the site shall begin no earlier than 7:00 a.m.; termination of removal of material from the site shall be no later than 5:00 p.m.; processing of materials shall begin no earlier than 7:00 a.m. and must be shut down by 5:00 p.m. These operating hours shall be for Monday through Saturday.

No operation shall take place on Sundays and major Federal holidays, as follows: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, 4<sup>th</sup> of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas; provided, however, that access on Sundays and holidays is permitted in the event of a town-wide emergency situation requiring use of material or equipment, for example, flooding situations, ice storms, major blizzards.

7. Maximum Excavation Limit

Final excavation grade shall be not less than four feet to documented seasonal high water table, provided, however, that pursuant to RSA 155-E:11,II, an exception shall be granted if the application demonstrates to the Regulator's satisfaction that excavation below this height will not adversely affect water quality. The Regulator reserves the right to have an outside review of the information submitted as part of any proposal to excavate within four feet of the documented seasonal high water table, at the Applicant's expense. Written notice of such an exception shall be recorded in the Hillsborough County Registry of Deeds at the Applicant's expense, and one copy shall be filed with the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.

8. Waste Disposal

No disposal of any waste material, including solid and/or hazardous waste, septage, dredge spoils, or refuse shall be undertaken on the site without appropriate State approval under RSA 149:M, or other appropriate State regulations.

9. Tree cutting

July 28, 2015

1	NEVILLE M	ATERIALS, cont.
2		
3		The applicable state statutes pertaining to forestry practice and timber harvesting
4	10	shall apply to the removal of vegetative cover at excavation sites.
5	10.	Stopping of Removal/Excavation Operations
6		If removal/excavation operations stop for more than one year with no notice
7		thereof provided to the Regulator and said stoppage is not in accordance with the
8		approved excavation plan or due to bad weather, the excavation permit may be
9		revoked and the performance bond forfeited with its proceeds used for reclaiming
10		the land in accordance with the approved reclamation plan.
11 12	CITE	SPECIFIC PERMIT CONDITIONS:
13	A.	Approved routes for transportation of material
14	A.	Approved routes for transportation of material
15		Parker to Rte 13 & 114 with occasional trips to Rte 114 via Riverdale.
16		Tarker to Ric 13 & 114 with occasional trips to Ric 114 via Riverdale.
17	B.	Number and type of vehicles to be used to transport material
18		5, France (1975)
19		5 10- wheelers and 2 18 wheelers making 0-80 trips/day combined.
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	C.	Equipment to be used for material removal
22		
23		1 crusher, 1 screener, 1 loader, 1 water truck and 1 excavator.
24	_	
25	D.	Requirements for material processing
26		
27 28		"Screening material, crushing and processing rock for drainage use. Operation in
28 29		areas shielded by stockpiles and embankments. Daily."
30	E.	Requirements for temporary stockpiling of offsite materials
31	L.	requirements for temporary stockprining of offsite materials
32		See designated area A on plan – to be stabilized with NH DOT spec gravel.
33		
34	F.	Required plantings for reclamation
35		
36		Plans show typical details for loam and seeding.
37		
38	G.	Other requirements
39		
40		<u>None</u>
41		
42		arth Removal Permit is valid until such time as the Regulator determines the Earth
43	Remov	val Operation is no longer in compliance with the New Boston Earth Removal

July 28, 2015

#### **NEVILLE MATERIALS, cont.**

Regulations; or, until such time as the operation shall be deemed to be abandoned as defined in the Earth Removal Regulations; or, until such time as the owner informs the Regulator that they will no longer be running the Earth Removal Operation; or, until such time as the operation is depleted; or, until the completion date as determined by the Regulator in the regulatory process, in accordance with RSA 155-E:8, in this case **July 28, 2035**, whichever first occurs.

Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

#### SKRE HOLDINGS, LLC

- Submission of Application/Public Hearing/Major Subdivision/5 Lots
- 13 Location: Tucker Mill Road
- 14 Tax Map/Lot #2/15
  - Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District

Present in the audience were Michael Ploof, LLS, Sandy and Kenneth Lehtonen and Kenny Lehtonen, Shirley Sullivan, James Barnett, and Graham and Pamela Pendlebury.

The Chairman read the public hearing notice.

Michael Ploof, LLS, of Fieldstone Land Consultants introduced himself to the Board and advised that he was representing Sandy and Kenneth Lehtonen of SKRE Holdings, LLC, with regard to a proposal for a five lot subdivision located at 292 Tucker Mill Road.

Michael Ploof, LLS, stated that the lot consisted of 34.5 acres with roughly 1,072' of frontage along Tucker Mill Road. He noted that the property was located in the Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District and further noted that some portions of the property were situated in the Wetlands Conservation Stream Corridor and the Steep Slope Conservation District.

Michael Ploof, LLS, indicated that Chad Branon, P.E., of Fieldstone Land Consultants had met with the Board on May 26, 2015, for a preliminary conceptual review. He went on to say that initially a six lot subdivision was being discussed, however, after discussions with his client and the Board it was determined that a five lot subdivision was a better plan as it would preserve a lot of the field area.

Michael Ploof, LLS, stated that the proposal created four new lots; two conventional lots just over 2 acres and two back lots just over 5 acres. He noted that the remaining 19.3 acre lot would contain an existing house and barn.

Michael Ploof, LLS, indicated that four new driveways could be constructed to support each lot. He pointed to the existing driveway that serviced the existing house and barn.

Michael Ploof, LLS, advised that a waiver request was being submitted for site specific soils mapping and he showed a map that contained SCS soils mapping. He stated that a waiver for the Traffic, Environmental and Fiscal Impact Studies was being submitted as well as a waiver for the shared common driveway length.

Michael Ploof, LLS, provided the Board with revised plans that addressed comments he had received on July 23, 2015. He also provided the Board with the previously mentioned waiver requests.

July 28, 2015

#### SKRE HOLDINGS, cont.

Michael Ploof, LLS, reviewed the checklist items that had been revised as follows:

- minor correction to abutter changed "June 1998 to September 1998";
- displayed suitable building envelopes on plan (identified as the shaded areas on the plan);
- amended the acreage breakdown to separate the Wetlands Conservation District and Stream Corridor District;
- He requested that the proposed easement language be submitted following an approval of the proposed plan in order to accurately reflect the plan. The Chairman commented that request made sense.;
- The correct language re: Stormwater Management Plans was added to Note #17;
- Note #18 was revised to reflect the Town's cistern/sprinkler standards; and
- Note #20 was added to the plan as it was a standard note for the Town.

Michael Ploof, LLS, indicated that the applicant would prefer to install sprinkler systems in lieu of installing a cistern. The Chairman asked if Mr. Ploof had discussed this matter with the Fire Department. Michael Ploof, LLS, indicated that he had not discussed the matter with the Fire Department. He added that one of his associates may have had a discussion with the Fire Department, however, he was not privy to that discussion. Kenny Lehtonen believed that the Fire Department had been okay with the installation of sprinkler systems.

The Chairman asked if a common driveway was being proposed for three of the lots. Michael Ploof, LLS, answered yes. He pointed to the plan and stated that installation of the common driveway for the three lots would create less of an impact than the installation of three separate driveways. He continued that the common driveway would keep with the rural character of the terrain. He believed that the use of the common driveway was a better plan than creating three individual driveways for the lots. The Chairman advised that one of the common driveway requirements was that it be located down the middle of the lot line as that indicated it was for two lots. He commented that the Town did not generally approve common driveways for three lots as it was difficult to get two homeowners to agree on anything let alone three homeowners. Michael Ploof, LLS, advised that he had created common driveways like the one proposed in other towns and they were accompanied by declarations of covenants that listed each homeowner's responsibility with regard to maintenance and cost.

Mark Suennen referred to Lot #2/15-2 and asked for an explanation of the driveway access. Michael Ploof, LLS, pointed to the plan and identified the access. Mark Suennen asked if his understanding was correct that easements across two separate properties were needed to access Lot #2/15-2. Michael Ploof, LLS, answered that Mark Suennen was correct. The Chairman and Mark Suennen advised that they would not approve the proposed driveway for Lot #2/15-2.

Mark Suennen asked if the driveway design for Lot #2/15-2 could be modified so that easements across two properties would not be needed. Michael Ploof, LLS, answered that the design could be modified, however, the result would require extreme grading. Joe Constance

July 28, 2015

#### SKRE HOLDINGS, cont.

asked how steep the slope would be for the driveway if it was modified. Michael Ploof, LLS, answered that the slope would be over 15%.

Kenny Lehtonen asked if there was a way to align the driveway to still have a common driveway. He pointed to the plan and explained that there was a challenge with the steepness of the terrain in a specific area. He asked if the Board would be agreeable to the driveway if only the entrance was shared and the portion of the driveway that serviced Lot #2/15-2 branched off sooner. He stated that his suggestion would keep the driveway more in line with the slope rather than attacking the steep slopes. Mark Suennen asked if Lot #2/15-2 and Lot 2/15-3 would share a common driveway located off the 50' frontage to Lot #2/15-3. Kenny Lehtonen answered yes. Mark Suennen asked for Kenny Lehtonen's driveway proposal for Lot 2/15-5. Kenny Lehtonen stated that Lot 2/15-5 could have a separate driveway that would run along the driveway for Lot #2/15-3 or it could share the entrance with Lot #2/15-3 and branch off after 150'. Mark Suennen stated that what Kenny Lehtonen was describing was a lot more reasonable than what had been presented.

The Chairman asked if a site walk had taken place. Mark Suennen answered no and believed that a site walk should be scheduled. The Chairman stated that the Board would need to view the proposed entrances for the driveways and the slopes.

Mark Suennen suggested that the Board review the waiver requests following the site walk. The Chairman agreed with Mark Suennen's suggestion.

Mark Suennen recommended that the applicant speak with the Fire Department with regard to installing sprinklers in lieu of cisterns and provide their opinion to the Board in writing.

The Chairman asked for the distance between the property and the nearest fire cistern. Sandy Lehtonen stated that the nearest fire cistern was located on Dougherty Lane.

Kenny Lehtonen asked if the Board wanted him to have a concept for the driveways that he had proposed this evening. Mark Suennen answered yes and noted that the Board would want to see the driveways staked at the property as well as the centerlines and frontage corners. David Litwinovich asked that the back corners of the two small lots also be staked. The Chairman asked that driveways to prove that the lots could support individual driveways also be staked.

The Board scheduled the site walk for Saturday, August 8, 2015, at 8:00 a.m.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to accept the application for SKRE Holdings, LLC, Location: Tucker Mill Road, Tax Map/Lot #2/15, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, as complete. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED**.

The Chairman asked for questions and/or comments from the audience; there were no questions or comments.

 Mark Suennen **MOVED** to adjourn the public hearing for SKRE Holdings, LLC, Location: Tucker Mill Road, Tax Map/Lot #2/15, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, to August 25, 2015, at 6:45 p.m. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

July 28, 2015

#### Continued discussion, re: Master Plan update, Goals & Objectives

The Board reviewed a draft version of updates to the Master Plan - Goals and Objectives. Joe Constance believed that items 1, 2 and 4 should be removed from the Community Facilities Objectives. The Board agreed to keep items 3 and 5.

Joe Constance suggested that item b. be removed from Recreation Objectives. The Board agreed.

Joe Constance stated that items b., d., e. and f. be removed from Solid Waste Objectives. He commented that he definitely wanted to keep items a. and c. Mark Suennen asked if items a. and c. could be merged together. Joe Constance answered yes. The Chairman believed that item c. was a restatement of the obvious. The Board agreed to merge items a. and b. and remove items c., d., e. and f. The Planning Board Assistant asked the Board if they wanted item a. to read as follows, "To continue the Town's recycling efforts at a reasonable cost". Joe Constance suggested that item a. read as follows, "To continue the Town's recycling efforts and to keep recyclable fee items at cost". Mark Suennen suggested that item a. read as follows, "To continue the Town's recycling efforts and control costs". The Board agreed with Mark Suennen's suggestion.

Joe Constance referenced Public Safety Objectives and suggested that only item b. remain in the section as items a., c. and d. were already being done in Town. The Board agreed with Joe Constance.

The Chairman referred to the Library Services Objective. Joe Constance suggested that items a. and b. be replaced with the following language, "Maintain New Boston community library and its vital support services". Mark Suennen suggested that the word "vital" be removed from Joe Constance's suggestion. Joe Constance agreed, as did the Board.

Joe Constance recommended that items b. and c. be removed from Education Objectives. The Board agreed with Joe Constance.

Joe Constance suggested that the section Cemetery Objectives be removed in its entirety. The Board agreed with Joe Constance.

The Board agreed to remove items 2 -8, 10, 11, and 13 -16 under Conservation and Natural Resource Objectives. Mark Suennen requested that the Planning Department look into item 9 and confirm whether or not it should remain in the section.

Joe Constance suggested that the Historical and Cultural Preservation Objectives be removed in their entirety, but the goal remain. The Board agreed with Joe Constance.

The Board agreed to keep the Earth Products Usage Goal as well as the Earth Products Usage Objectives.

Joe Constance suggested that items 2-7 be removed from the Forest Resource Objectives. The Board agreed with Joe Constance.

The Planning Board Assistant advised that the next Master Plan article needed to be submitted to Brandy Mitroff by August 6, 2015. Mark Suennen stated that he would write the article.

Joe Constance asked if the next step in the Master Plan update was to look at the Goals and Objectives revisions in their entirety. The Chairman answered yes and added that research needed to be completed on the Piscataquog River Management Plan.

July 28, 2015

# MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF JULY 28, 2015.

1. Approval of the June 9, 2015, meeting minutes, with or without changes. (distributed by email.

David Litwinovich **MOVED** to approve the June 9, 2015, meeting minutes as written. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

10 2. Approval of the June 23, 2015, meeting minutes, with or without changes. (distributed by email.

Joe Constance **MOVED** to approve the June 23, 2015, meeting minutes as written. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

Driveway Permit Application for Harvey Dupuis Family Trust, Tax Map/Lot #12/93-34,
 Lorden Road, for the Board's action.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to approve the Driveway Permit Application for Harvey Dupuis Family Trust, Tax Map/Lot #12/93-34, Lorden Road. Joe Constance seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

4. Email response dated July 2, 2015, from Robert Nadeau, to Jane Perron, Todd Land Use Consultants, re: Tax Map/Lot # 4/14, Conditions Subsequent deadline of August 24, 2015, for 2 wetland crossings, for the Board's review and discussion.

The Planning Board Assistant noted that Bob Todd's office represented Mr. Nadeau. She stated that the subdivision was approved in November 2010 and had quite a few extensions granted through 2014. She advised that Mr. Nadeau had recently fulfilled the requirement of putting his financial security in place for the wetland crossing. She stated that she was unsure if Mr. Nadeau believed that the Board would not grant an additional extension. She indicated that she had sent him an email last week but had not had a response.

Mark Suennen asked for the content of the email that the Planning Board Assistant sent. The Planning Board Assistant answered that she had sent Mr. Nadeau an email letting him know that he could submit an extension request and provide the Board an explanation of his situation.

The Planning Board Assistant advised that the deadline to complete the wetland crossing was August 24, 2015. She noted that as part of the crossing a shared driveway needed to be built off Route 136.

Mark Suennen asked if the DOT Permit had expired. The Planning Board Assistant advised that the DOT Permit would not expire until next year.

The Chairman asked for the Board's options in handling this matter. Mark Suennen answered that the Board could encourage Mr. Nadeau to submit an extension request because the Board was amenable to granting the extension or the Board could choose to do nothing and send

July 28, 2015

#### MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.

Mr. Nadeau his money back after August 24, 2015. He added that the CUP would have to be rescinded.

The Chairman asked if anything had been built in these lots. Having been told no, the Chairman said another option was to revoke the subdivision as there would be no access to it. The Planning Board Assistant pointed out that the plan had already been recorded. The Chairman said if there was no access he would like to know what the options were. Mark Suennen said the Board needed a legal opinion. He asked if the wetland crossing did not get built and there was no viable access across the wetland to the property, did the Board have a responsibility to those lots of record. The Chairman said the owner may just have to create a new wetland crossing plan. He said a Planning Board extension allowed the same plan to be used and floated out there longer which he thought was crazy since the applicant had indicated flat out he was not doing it.

Mark Suennen noted that the applicant could sell a lot or two and make the money to cover the cost of the crossing. The Chairman noted that \$80 - 90K for a crossing to two or three lots as referenced in Mr. Nadeau's email would make them very expensive lots and it seemed unlikely that they would sell quickly.

The Planning Board Assistant pointed out that the security with the Town was just over \$12K so the amount quoted by the application was for the driveway construction as well. The Chairman thought it might make sense for the Board to revoke the plan and send back the money.

The Planning Board Assistant stated that she would complete some research before the next meeting for further discussion with the Board.

The Board agreed to give an extension of 24 hours to August 25<sup>th</sup> so the matter could be discussed at the next meeting.

5a. Copy of Adherence Statement received July 16, 2015, from Brian A. Pratt, P.E., CLD Consulting Engineers, re: Tax Map/Lot #9/21-5-3, Fieldstone Drive, for the Board's review and discussion.

5b. Email correspondence from Brian A. Pratt, P.E., CLD Consulting Engineers, for the Board's information.

The Chairman addressed items 5a and 5b together as they were related.

The Planning Board Assistant stated that the applicant wanted their bond released in order to have that done she had advised the applicant that he needed to submit an adherence statement. She continued that Brian Pratt, P.E., had submitted an adherence statement stating that the site had not been stabilized at this point, however, he expected it to be stabilized within the next few weeks. She noted that the BI/CEO had advised her that the silt fence was down and

- the next few weeks. She noted that the BI/CEO had advised her that the silt fence was down that he had told the contractors that the area was a mess and it needed to be cleaned up. She
- stated that she emailed Brian Pratt, P.E., to inquire if he had gone out to the site for the
- adherence statement and he responded that he had not viewed the site prior to writing his

July 28, 2015

42

43

11.

1 2	MISC	ELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.	
3 4	statement of adherence and that he had viewed pictures sent to him by the LeClairs.  The Chairman stated that the bond should not be released. The Board agreed with the		
5	Chairn		
6 7 8	6.	Letter dated July 9, 2015, from William H. Stone, re: 3 River Road, commercial rental space, for the Board's information.	
9 10		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
11 12	occurre		
13 14 15 16	7.	Letter with attachments dated July 2, 2015, from Peter R. Flynn, Town Administrator, to David J. Preece, AICP, SNHPC, re: SNHPC New Boston Representative Appointments, for the Board's information.	
17 18	occurre	The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion ed.	
19 20 21	8.	Letter with invoice attachment dated July 8, 2015, from David J. Preece, AICP, SNHPC, re: SNHPC Membership Fee – Fiscal Year 2015-2016, for the Board's information.	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		Ed Carroll asked if the Board was required to continue its membership with the SNHPC. nairman answered no. Ed Carroll asked if the Board should reconsider its membership. Suennen advised that it was not a discussion to have with the Planning Board but rather a	
26 27	discussion to have with the Board of Selectmen.		
28 29 30 31	9.	Construction Services Reports dated May 27, 29, & 31, and June 2, 3, and 23, 2015, from Northpoint Engineering, LLC, for Twin Bridge Estates, Phase II, for the Board's information.	
32 33 34	occurre	The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion ed.	
35 36 37 38	10.	Construction Services Reports dated May 27, 29, & 31, and June 2, 3, and 23, 2015, from Northpoint Engineering, LLC, for Twin Bridge Estates, Phase II, for the Board's information.	
39 40 41	occurre	The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion ed.	

Construction Services Reports dated May 31, June 2, 17, 18, 23, 29, 30, and July 2, 2015, from Northpoint Engineering, LLC, for Indian Falls/Susan Road connection, for the

July 28, 2015

43

1	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.		
2			
3 4		Board's information.	
5		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
6 7	occurre	ed.	
8	12.	Construction Services Reports dated June 8, 10, 19, 22, 23, 24, 29, 30, and July 2, 2015,	
9	12.	from Northpoint Engineering, LLC, for Forest View/S&R Holding, LLC, for the Board's	
10 11		information.	
12		The Chairman calmoveledged receipt of the above referenced metters no discussion	
		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
13 14	occurre	ed.	
15	13.	Copy of Letter dated July 7, 2015 from Earl J. Sandford, P.E., Sandford Surveying &	
16		Engineering, Inc., to Kevin M. Leonard, P.E., Northpoint Engineering, LLC, re: Plan As-	
17		Built Deviations/Compliance Memo, re: Indian Falls/Susan Road Connection – Bussiere,	
18		for the Board's information.	
19			
20		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
21	occurre	ed.	
22			
23	14.	Letter copy dated July 18, 2015, from Kevin M. Leonard, P.E., Northpoint Engineering,	
24		LLC, to Aaron Firman, Thibeault Corporation, re: Indian Falls/Susan Road Connection -	
25		Bussiere - June 29, 2015- Outstanding Items Summary & response to Earl J. Sandford's	
26		letter in #13 above, for the Board's information.	
27			
28		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
29	occurre	ed.	
30			
31	15.	Article entitled: Cutting Trash In Half, by: George Bald & John Campbell, published in	
32		July/August 2015, New Hampshire Town & City, for the Board's information.	
33			
34		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
35	occurre	ed.	
36			
37	16.	Article entitled: Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Programs for New Hampshire	
38		Municipalities, by: Karen Cramton, published in July/August 2015, New Hampshire	
39		Town & City, for the Board's information.	
40		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
41		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion	
42	occurre		

July 28, 2015

1	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.	
2	,	
3	17. Letter dated July 28, 2015 from Emile Bussiere to	Shannon Silver, re: Indian Falls Road
4	Subdivision Update and request to extend Conditi	ons Subsequent deadline to August 25,
5	2015, for the Board's action.	
6		
7	The Planning Board Assistant advised that the roa	d had been paved, however, it had not
8	been completed in time to meet the Town's compliance de	eadline for this meeting. She noted that
9	the Town Engineer had not been able to go out and go ov	er the final punch list. She believed
10	that the matter would be on the August 25, 2015, meeting	g agenda for compliance.
11		
12	Mark Suennen <b>MOVED</b> to extend the conditions	•
13	August 25, 2015, in light of the Town Engineer's	<u> </u>
14	appropriate inspections and testing. Joe Constance	e seconded the motion and it <b>PASSED</b>
15	unanimously.	
16		
17	Joe Constance <b>MOVED</b> to adjourn at 8:57 p.m.	Mark Suennen seconded the motion
18	and it <b>PASSED</b> unanimously.	
19		
20		
21	Respectfully submitted,	Minutes Approved:
22	Valerie Diaz, Recording Clerk	Approved 7.28.15